Viscoelastic AVO modeling and inversion

Shahpoor Moradi, Kristopher Innanen

CREWES annual meeting Banff, AB, 2015





Outline

- Introduction and motivation
- Inhomogeneous waves
- Viscoelastic Zoeppritz equations
- Complex Snell's law and it's linearization
- AVO equations
- Inversion strategy
- Conclusion





Introduction and motivation



Quantitative Seismology, Aki and Richards, (2002)





Introduction and motivation

- Sensitivity of the reflection coefficients in a low-loss viscoelastic media to elastic and anelastic properties.
- The effects of change in attenuation angle across the boundary on the AVO equations (has not been considered in the literatures).
- AVO modeling in attenuative media taken into account the inhomogeneity of the wave.
- AVO inversion in viscoelastic media.
- Establish a framework for viscoelastic full wave form inversion.





Inhomogeneous waves

Attenuation in viscoelastic media characterized by quality factors (Q_P, Q_S) and attenuation angles (δ_P, δ_S)

Borcherdt, R. D., 2009. Viscoelastic waves in layered media, Cambridge University Press







Inhomogeneous waves

$$\underbrace{e^{-\vec{A}\cdot\vec{r}}}_{\text{Amplitude damping}} e^{-i\vec{P}\cdot\vec{r}} e^{-i(\vec{P}-\vec{i}\vec{A})\cdot\vec{r}} = e^{-i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{r}} \longrightarrow \vec{K} = \vec{P} - \vec{i}\vec{A}$$
Wave number vector is complex

- Ray parameter is complex
- Vertical slowness is complex

Reflectivity is a complex function

$$R\left(\frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho}, \frac{\Delta V_{\rm S}}{V_{\rm S}}, \frac{\Delta V_{\rm P}}{V_{\rm P}}, \frac{\Delta Q_{\rm P}}{Q_{\rm P}}, \frac{\Delta Q_{\rm S}}{Q_{\rm S}}, \theta, \delta\right)$$





 $\frac{V_{P2}}{V_{P1}} = \frac{V_{S2}}{V_{S1}} = 2.5$ $\frac{Q_{P2}}{Q_{P1}} = \frac{Q_{S2}}{Q_{S1}} = 1.3$ $\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} = 1.3$















11

Complex Snell's law

Ray parameter is complex so the Snell's law has real and imaginary part

- Real part of
 Snell's law
 Incident angle is equal to the reflected angle
 Change in the phase angle across the boundary is obtained by linearization of the real part of Snell's law

Imaginary part _____ of Snell's law _____

- Incident attenuation angle is equal to the reflected attenuation angle
- Transmitted attenuation angle can be expressed in terms of incident phase and attenuation angle
- Change in the attenuation angle across the boundary is obtained by linearization of the imaginary part of the Snell's law





Complex Snell's law

Complex ray parameter is

$$p = \frac{1}{V_{P1}} \left[\sin \theta_{P1} - \frac{i}{2} Q_{P1}^{-1} (\sin \theta_{P1} - \cos \theta_{P1} \tan \delta_{P1}) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{V_{P2}} \left[\sin \theta_{P2} - \frac{i}{2} Q_{P2}^{-1} (\sin \theta_{P2} - \cos \theta_{P2} \tan \delta_{P2}) \right]$$

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{V_{P2}}} \left[\frac{1}{V_{P2}} \int_{V_{P1}} \frac{1}{V_{P1}} \int_{V_{P1}} \frac{1}{$$





Complex Snell's law

Transmitted attenuation angle in terms of incident phase and attenuation angles



Contrast in velocity with constant quality factor can cause the change in incident attenuation angle







Linearization of Snell's law

To calculate the approximate reflectivities for a low contrast model, and to write the physical quantities in medium 1, and medium 2 in terms of fractional perturbations, we must express the phase and attenuation angles in perturbed form. This in turn requires us to linearize the generalized Snell's law.







Linearization of Snell's law

Real part of Snell's law $\Rightarrow \sin \theta_{\rm P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta \theta_{\rm P}}{\tan \theta_{\rm P}} \right)$ $\Rightarrow \sin \theta_{\rm P} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta \theta_{\rm P}}{\tan \theta_{\rm P}} \right)$ $\sin \theta_{\rm P}$ $\sin \theta_{\rm P2}$ $\Delta \theta_{\rm P} = \tan \theta_{\rm P} \frac{\Delta V_{\rm P}}{V_{\rm P}}$ $V_{\rm P1}$ $V_{\rm P2}$ $V_{\rm P}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta V_{\rm P}}{V_{\rm P}}\right)$ $V_{\rm P}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta V_{\rm P}}{V_{\rm P}}\right)$





Linearization of Snell's law

Imaginary part of Snell's law

$$\frac{Q_{\rm P1}^{-1}}{2V_{\rm P1}}(\sin\theta_{\rm P1} - \cos\theta_{\rm P1}\tan\delta_{\rm P1}) = \frac{Q_{\rm P2}^{-1}}{2V_{\rm P2}}(\sin\theta_{\rm P2} - \cos\theta_{\rm P2}\tan\delta_{\rm P2})$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \text{linearization} \\ \text{Inearization} \\ \\ \Delta\delta_{\rm P} = \frac{1}{2}\sin 2\delta_{\rm P} \left\{ \frac{\Delta V_{\rm P}}{V_{\rm P}} \frac{1}{\cos^2\theta_{\rm P}} + \left(1 - \frac{\tan\theta_{\rm P}}{\tan\delta_{\rm P}}\right) \frac{\Delta Q_{\rm P}}{Q_{\rm P}} \right\}$$





P-to-P reflection coefficient







Elastic term







Homogenous term

$$R_{PP}^{AH}(\theta_{P}) = A_{PP}^{A} + B_{PP}^{A} \sin^{2} \theta_{P} + A_{PP}^{A}(\tan^{2} \theta_{P} - \sin^{2} \theta_{P})$$
Zero offset term
$$-\frac{1}{4}Q_{P}^{-1}\frac{\Delta Q_{P}}{Q_{P}}$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}Q_{P}^{-1}\frac{\Delta Q_{P}}{Q_{P}}$$
AVO gradient
$$-2\left(\frac{V_{S}}{V_{P}}\right)^{2}\left[\left(Q_{S}^{-1} - Q_{P}^{-1}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho} + 2\frac{\Delta V_{S}}{V_{S}}\right) - Q_{S}^{-1}\frac{\Delta Q_{S}}{Q_{S}}\right] - \frac{1}{4}Q_{P}^{-1}\frac{\Delta Q_{P}}{Q_{P}}$$





Inhomogeneous term

$$R_{\rm PP}^{\rm AIH}(\theta_{\rm P}, \delta_{\rm P}) = C_{\rm PP}^{\rm A}(\tan \theta_{P} + \tan^{3} \theta_{P}) + F_{\rm PP}^{\rm A}\sin(2\theta_{P})$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\tan \delta_{P}Q_{P}^{-1}\frac{\Delta V_{\rm P}}{V_{\rm P}} - \tan \delta_{P}\left(\frac{V_{\rm S}}{V_{\rm P}}\right)^{2}Q_{P}^{-1}\left[\frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho} + 2\frac{\Delta V_{\rm S}}{V_{\rm S}}\right]$$









www.crewes.org



22







For small angles the reflectiviteis for homogeneous waves can be written as

Normalized PS reflectivity to generate the linearity at small incident angles

Introduction to petroleum seismology LT Ikelle, L Amundsen - 2005 - library.seg.org

$$R_{PP}^{E}(\theta_{P}) = A_{PP}^{E} + B_{PP}^{E} \sin^{2} \theta_{P}$$

$$R_{PP}^{AH}(\theta_{P}) = A_{PP}^{A} + B_{PP}^{A} \sin^{2} \theta_{P}$$

$$\mathbb{R}_{PS}^{E}(\theta_{P}) = \mathbb{R}_{PS}^{E}(\theta_{P}) = A_{PS}^{E} + B_{PS}^{E} \sin^{2} \theta_{P}$$

$$\mathbb{R}_{PS}^{AH}(\theta_{P}) = \frac{R_{PS}^{A}(\theta_{P})}{\sin \theta_{P}} = A_{PS}^{A} + B_{PS}^{A} \sin^{2} \theta_{P}$$





Shale/Sand(unconsolidated)		
$\rho_1 = 2.16 \text{ g/cm}^3$		
$V_{P1} = 2.057 \text{ Km/s}^2$		
$V_{S1} = 0.489 \text{ Km}/\text{s}^2$		
$\rho_2 = 2.08$		
$V_{P2} = 2.134$		
$V_{S2} = 0.969$		

Shale/Limestone(gas)

$ \rho_1 = 2.40 $	
$V_{P1} = 3.811$	
$V_{S1} = 2.263$	
$\rho_2 = 2.49$	
$V_{P2} = 5.043$	
$V_{S2} = 2.957$	

Shale/Salt

$$\rho_1 = 2.40$$

$$V_{\rm P1} = 3.811$$

$$V_{S1} = 2.263$$

 $\rho_2 = 2.05$

 $V_{P2} = 4.573$

 $V_{S2} = 2.729$

Limestone/Salt

 $\rho_1 = 2.65$

 $V_{P1} = 5.335$

 $V_{S1} = 2.957$

$$p_2 = 2.05$$

$$V_{P2} = 4.573$$

 $V_{S2} = 2.729$



www.crewes.org



25

Elastic PP-reflectivity vs Elastic PS-reflectivity







www.crewes.org













Anlastic PP-reflectivity vs Anelastic PS-reflectivity







Anlastic PP-reflectivity vs Anelastic Normalized PS-reflectivity







Elastic intercepts and gradients

$$A_{\rm PP}^{\rm E} = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_3)$$

$$B_{\rm PP}^{\rm E} = \frac{1}{2}x_1 - 2x_4^2(x_3 + 2x_2)$$

$$A_{\rm PS}^{\rm E} = -\frac{1}{2}x_3 - x_4(x_3 + 2x_2)$$

$$B_{\rm PS}^{\rm E} = -\frac{1}{4}x_4^2x_3 + x_4\left(\frac{1}{2} + x_4\right)(x_3 + 2x_2)$$

Anelastic intercepts and gradients

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\rm PP}^{\rm A} &= -\frac{1}{4} x_7 x_5 \\ B_{\rm PP}^{\rm A} &= -2x_4^2 \left[(x_8 - x_7) \left(x_3 + 2x_2 \right) - x_8 x_6 \right] - \frac{1}{4} x_7 x_5 \\ A_{\rm PS}^{\rm A} &= -\frac{1}{2} x_4 (x_8 - x_7) \left(x_3 + 2x_2 \right) + x_4 x_8 x_6 \\ B_{\rm PS}^{\rm A} &= -\frac{1}{4} (x_8 - x_7) x_3 + x_4 \left[-x_8 x_6 \left(\frac{1}{2} + x_4 \right) + (x_8 - x_7) \left(\frac{1}{4} + x_4 \right) \left(x_3 + 2x_2 \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$x_{1} = \frac{\Delta V_{P}}{V_{P}}$$

$$x_{2} = \frac{\Delta V_{S}}{V_{S}}$$

$$x_{3} = \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho}$$

$$x_{4} = \frac{V_{S}}{V_{P}}$$

$$x_{5} = \frac{\Delta Q_{P}}{Q_{P}}$$

$$x_{6} = \frac{\Delta Q_{S}}{Q_{S}}$$

$$x_{7} = Q_{P}$$

$$x_{8} = Q_{S}$$





Summary and conclusion

- Based on the Snell's law in viscoelastic medium the incident and transmitted attenuation angles are not the same.
- Perturbation in attenuation angle can be expressed in terms of perturbations in elastic velocity and quality factor.
- Reflectivity not only depends upon the perturbations in elastic properties, but also on perturbations in quality factors for P- and S-waves.
- Inhomogeneity of the wave does not have any influence on the reflection coefficient for vertically incident waves.
- If there is no contrast in Qp and Qs across the interface, the inhomogeneity of the wave does make a contributions in the reflectivity.
- Major contribution in the anelastic part of the reflectivity caused by the inhomogeneity of the wave.
- By normalization of the elastic and anelastic parts of the PS reflectivity and using the nonlinear inversion, 5 fractional changes in medium properties, Vs/Vp ratio and averages in P- and S-wave quality factors can be inverted.





CREWES sponsors and NSERC for financial support CREWES staff for technical support Shahin Moradi for discussion





Thank you



